

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/633,256	08/01/2003	David T. Winslow	PD-02W085	4777	
23915	7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION			JONES, STEPHEN E		
RAYTHEON P.O. BOX 902	SYSTEMS COMPANY (E1/E150)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLDG E1 M S E150			2817		
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0902			DATE MAILED, 09/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
		3,256	WINSLOW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit			
		n E. Jones	2817			
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	∍ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this countries. If the period for reply specified above is less than third of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum from the period for reply in the set or extended period for many reply received by the Office later than three montearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the in statutory period will apply an eply will, by statute, cause the hs after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDOI	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm	nunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) include 11) The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) accepted or bjection to the drawing(sling the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Solured if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copi application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office ac	ity documents have b ity documents have b es of the priority docu ational Bureau (PCT F	peen received. Deen received in Application Deen received in Application in Appli	ation No ived in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/1/03.		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		52)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-12, 15-17, 20-25, 28-30, and 33-42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard et al. (US 5,675,302 cited by applicant) in view of Quan et al. (US 5,703,599).

Howard teaches an interconnect including: a dielectric body (e.g. 22) having a shielding conductor (40) disposed on its outer surface (i.e. a shield housing) (Claims 22, 24, 36, 38, 42); the designation of portions is an arbitrary assignment thus satisfying the limitations of portions of the dielectric body (Claims 23, 37, 41); cavities in the dielectric are provided with conductors including springy button contacts (e.g. 32A) (i.e. a spring

Application/Control Number: 10/633,256

Art Unit: 2817

probe, Claims 4, 6, 9, 15, 17, 20, 28, 30, 33); the device is impedance matched (i.e. tuned; e.g. see Col. 5, lines 49-62) (Claims 5, 11, 16, 29, 35); and transmission line circuit boards (52, 72) are mated with the compressible conductor ends.

However, Howard does not teach that the conductor is an offset conductor in an offset cavity (Claims 1, 12, 25, 39, 40), or that the conductor is a bent conductive pin (Claims 10, 21, 34).

Quan provides the exemplary teaching of an offset interconnection.

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Howard interconnects to have been bent offset conductors/cavities such as taught by Quan, because it would have been a mere selection of well-known connection configurations based on the pre-selected orientation/positions of the devices to be interconnected, thereby suggesting the obviousness of such a modification.

4. Claims 2-3, 7-8, 13-14, 18-19, 26-27, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard et al. (US 5,675,302 cited by applicant) and Quan et al. (US 5,703,599) as applied to claims 1, 6, 12, 17, 25, and 30 above, and further in view of Keesey et al. cited by applicant.

The combination of Howard and Quan teaches an interconnect as described above including a spring button connected to a conductor (i.e. a plunger). However, they do not explicitly teach that the spring button is a compressible wire bundle.

Keesey (Fig. 1) teaches that a compressible contact conductor (86) can be connected to a conductor (84) (i.e. a plunger) to form an interconnect and the compressible material can be a wire bundle (e.g. Fig. 6A).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,256

Art Unit: 2817

Page 4

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted a compressible wire bundle such as taught by Keesey in place of the springy compressible button contact in the Howard/Quan device, because it would have been considered a mere substitution of well-known art-recognized equivalent compressible conductor contact means for connecting to a plunging conductor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen E. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-1762. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Jones
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2817